

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERIC LEON CHRISTIAN,

Petitioner,

v.

BARRON,

Respondent.

Nos. CV 2:24-10844-SVW  
CR 99-1120-SVW

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION AND  
DECLARING ERIC LEON CHRISTIAN A  
VEXATIOUS LITIGANT

Having considered the petition filed by Eric Leon Christian (hereinafter "Christian"), as docket number ("Dkt.") 01, in Eric Leon Christian v. Barron, case number CV 2:24-10844-SVW, (the "Petition"), the government's opposition and motion to declare Christian a vexatious litigant, Christian's response, the files and records in this case, and in Christian's underlying criminal case, United States v. Eric Leon Christian, 99-1120-SVW, the Court makes the following findings and rulings:

Dismissal of Petition for Habeas Corpus in CV 2:24-10844-SVW

The Petition is in fact a motion to attack defendant's conviction under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. It is DISMISSED for the reasons

1 set forth in the government's opposition. To the extent applicable,  
2 no certificate of appealability shall issue as there has been no  
3 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

4 Declaration of Christian as Vexatious Litigant

5 Christian has over several years continued to file numerous  
6 frivolous and repetitive filings in this district with no objective  
7 good faith expectation of prevailing on any claim, and/or which have  
8 violated court rules and procedures despite ample warning and  
9 opportunity to correct these errors.

10 In Christian's underlying criminal case, United States v. Eric  
11 Leon Christian, 99-1120-SVW, these include: Two baseless motions in  
12 November 2021 seeking to dismiss the indictment and withdraw a guilty  
13 plea over twenty years after conviction, barred procedurally on  
14 multiple grounds and lacking merit. (Dkt. 96, 98). A misleading and  
15 unintelligible motion in January 2022 falsely seeking to seize \$2.2  
16 billion based on defendant's misrepresentation of a case in the  
17 District of Nevada. (Dkt. 107). Nine different filings in February  
18 2022, each largely unintelligible and failing to present any valid  
19 claim. (Dkt. 111-115, 117-120). Three additional letters in  
20 February and March 2022 repeating baseless claims and arguments.  
21 (Dkt. 124-27). An improper and meritless notice of appeal in  
22 November 2022. (Dkt. 132). Six patently frivolous motions attacking  
23 Christian's conviction and seeking monetary judgments in early 2023.  
24 (Dkt. 136, 141, 143, 145, 148, 152). Four additional frivolous  
25 motions in mid-2023 falsely seeking monetary judgments. (Dkt. 152,  
26 155-56, 158). Twelve repetitive and frivolous motions in 2024 again  
27 attacking Christian's conviction or seeking monetary judgments, all  
28 struck for violations of court rules. (Dkt. 165, 169, 172, 175, 179,

1 179-82, 184, 187-89, 192). Christian's September 21, 2024, response  
2 to the Court's order to show cause why Christian should not be  
3 sanctioned for filing frivolous motions, which repeated baseless and  
4 false claims and arguments. (Dkt. 221). Two Ninth Circuit appeals  
5 from orders of this Court that were dismissed by the Ninth Circuit  
6 for Christian's failure to answer an order to show cause and failure  
7 to prosecute, and a third appeal voluntarily dismissed by defendant.  
8 (Dkt. 140, 168).

9 In Christian's underlying recent civil case, Eric Leon Christian  
10 v. Barron, case number CV 2:24-10844-SVW, these include: The  
11 Petition. (Dkt. 1). Eight motions filed in 2024, attacking  
12 Christian's conviction or seeking money, that were all  
13 incomprehensible and without merit. (Dkt. 5-7, 11-15). An improper  
14 motion for leave to appeal to the Ninth Circuit in forma pauperis  
15 where no final judgment had yet been entered. (Dkt. 18). Three  
16 filings in January and February 2025, making frivolous, repetitive  
17 claims and seeking money, each noticed by the Clerk for failure to  
18 follow Court rules. (Dkt. 18, 21, 28). A frivolous writ of  
19 execution filed in violation of Court rules on February 4, 2025.  
20 (Dkt. 30). Two motions in February 2025 seeking a demand for  
21 monetary judgment and reconsideration of a scheduling order by the  
22 Court that were largely incoherent and utterly failed to state  
23 grounds for reconsideration. (Dkt. 38, 41). An objection filed on  
24 February 28, 2025. (Dkt. 45).

25 Based on the forgoing record, the additional information  
26 provided by the government about Christian's emails and history of  
27 litigation in the District of Nevada, and the record as a whole, the  
28 COURT FINDS THAT:

1           1.     Christian is a vexatious litigant.

2           2.     Christian has engaged in a long history of vexatious,  
3 harassing, and duplicative filings in this District.

4           3.     Christian did not have an objective good faith expectation  
5 of prevailing on claims in his filings, including the Petition and  
6 the other filings set forth above.

7           4.     Christian through his filings has caused needless expense  
8 and unnecessary burden on the government and on the Court and its  
9 personnel.

10          5.     Alternative sanctions, other than the ones imposed by this  
11 Order, would not be adequate to protect the courts and other parties.

12           Conclusions and Rulings

13          1.     The Petition in Eric Leon Christian v. Barron, CV 2:24-  
14 10844-SVW, is DISMISSED with prejudice. No certificate of  
15 appealability shall issue as there has been no substantial showing of  
16 the denial of a constitutional right. Docket Number 45 in that case  
17 is denied and overruled as without merit.

18          2.     The Court DECLARES Eric Leon Christian, also known as  
19 Cravenart ("Christian"), is a vexatious litigant.

20          3.     The Court DIRECTS the Clerk of the Court: (1) not to accept  
21 further filings from Christian without payment of all applicable  
22 court fees and written authorization of a District Judge or  
23 Magistrate Judge of the Court, issued upon such showing of evidence  
24 supporting the claim as the judge may require (see Local Rule 83-8);  
25 and (2) that any such filing received by the Clerk of the Court from  
26 Christian shall be assigned to a Judge pursuant to the Court's  
27 standard case assignment procedures, but shall not be docketed unless  
28 the assigned Judge issues an order authorizing the filing.

1           4.     The Court ORDERS that if the Clerk mistakenly accepts a  
2 filing by Christian without written authorization, any party may file  
3 with the Clerk, and serve on defendant, a notice stating that  
4 defendant is a vexatious litigant subject to this Court's prefiling  
5 order. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 391.7(c). The filing of the  
6 notice shall automatically stay any filing or action which shall be  
7 dismissed forthwith unless Christian within ten days of filing the  
8 notice secures an order from the Judge presiding over the action  
9 permitting the filing.

10           5.     For the purposes of this Order, a "filing" includes and  
11 petition, application, complaint, motion, or letter, aside from a  
12 response to a complaint, petition, or application filed against  
13 Christian after the date of this Order. This Order shall not prevent  
14 Christian from defendant against or responding to any new action  
15 filed against him in state or federal court after the date of this  
16 Order.

17           6.     This Order shall not prevent Christian from filing an  
18 appeal of this ruling or impose any additional prefiling requirements  
19 on any such appeal that may be filed.

20  
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: April 1, 2025



HON. STEPHEN V. WILSON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE